HSCRC Quality Initiatives: Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions Program

July 23, 2009 Dianne Feeney, HSCRC

Differences in National vs. HSCRC Programs

HSCRC

- Maryland focused
- All payers
- All acute hospitals
- HSCRC mission
- APR DRGS
- Leverages existing data collection

Other Programs

- National/Generic
- Single payer
- Network hospitals
- Contractually driven
- Limited or lack of risk adjustment
- New data demands

Categories of Measures Considered

- Structure—Infrastructure
- Process including prevention/screening
- Outcome- including hospital complications and adverse events
- Productivity or Utilization
- Patient experience of care
- Patient Safety
- Safety Culture

Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions Overview

- Initially modeled after CMS HACs with 85% payment decrement for cases that occurred for 11 conditions.
- The initiative is now broadened to include measurement of a proposed set of 52 Potentially Preventable Complications (PPCs)- Approved by the Commission at its June 3, 2009 meeting.
 - To be Implemented July 1, 2009
 - Risk adjusted rate based methodology actual vs. expected
 - Complications as they are specified right now, in the system, account for \$521 million if they were completely eliminated (HSCRC does not believe they are completely preventable)
 - Undetermined magnitude revenue at risk (revenue neutral implementation)

Potentially Preventable Complications

- Potentially Preventable Complications (PPCs)
 - Harmful events (accidental laceration during a procedure) or negative outcomes (hospital acquired pneumonia) that may result from the process of care and treatment rather than from a natural progression of underlying disease

MHACs: Initially Built on Medicare HAC Approach but with "Refinements"

- Maryland POA coding looked very good (enabled us to model the results)
- HSCRC initially selected "most highly preventable" complications - not necessarily 100% preventable
- Utilized 3M's set of 64 Potentially Preventable Condition (PPC) categories to select group of 11 highly preventable PPCs
- Adjusted "Payment" Methodology to better reflect actual level of preventability (85% payment decrement)
- Approach also provided incentives to code secondary diagnosis (complication)

MHAC Discussions with Industry

- Even with these improvements over CMS approach met strong opposition from industry
- Case-specific approach proved highly problematic
- Clinicians believed they were being held to 0% complication rate (even with 85% payment decrement)
- Worried about "false positives" and cases where "despite the best efforts of clinicians – still had a complication"
- When held to this standard believed there would be unintended consequences (e.g., OB Laceration PPCs would result in increased number of C Sections)

What HSCRC Learned

- Case-Specific Approach proved untenable to industry
- Setting a specific threshold of preventability for the CMS HACs (100% preventable) and the MHACs (85% preventable was viewed as problematic)
- Because of these two limitations focused on "rate-based" approach (broader number PPCs: actual vs. expected)
- We have concurrently developed a method of indexing hospital performance based on regression to estimate resources used or averted that associated with the rate of PPC occurrences

Revised MHAC Approach Based on Regression Analysis

- Regression performed for 64 PPCs based on Maryland Charge data
- Also performed on California data Similar relative result
- Not all PPCs incurred a statistically significant cost change with the PPC occurring (12 PPCs didn't meet this test)
- Result is an estimation of extra resource use (or averted resource use) for presence (or absence) of a PPC (see Table 1)
- Used as basis of developing a Measurement Index

Table 1: PPC Regression

PPC#	PPC Description	Adm \$	Adm T	Cases	Notes
			T Value<1.96		
1	Stroke & Intracranial Hemorrhage	\$13,066	38.603236	828	
2	Extreme CNS Complications	\$12,051	30.374969	644	
3	Acute Pulmonary Edema and Respiratory Failure without Ventilation	\$5,721	40.425129	5257	
4	Acute Pulmonary Edema and Respiratory Failure with Ventilation	\$20,064	60.367208	898	
5	Pneumonia & Other Lung Infections		93.165292	4850	
6	Aspiration Pneumonia	\$10,500	43.489609	1667	
	Pulmonary Embolism		26.962321	601	
8	Other Pulmonary Complications		53.427777	4764	
	Shock		42.074928	1512	
10	Congestive Heart Failure		19.431952	2386	
	Acute Myocardial Infarction		20.335337	1232	
12	Cardiac Arrythmias & Conduction Disturbances		6.8716698	1017	
13	Other Cardiac Complications	\$3,197	7.6846559	537	
	Ventricular Fibrillation/Cardiac Arrest		41.038245	680	
	Peripheral Vascular Complications Except Venous Thrombosis		24.113279	325	
	Venous Thrombosis		44.449833	1670	
17	Major Gastrointestinal Complications without Transfusion or Significant Bleeding		34.432863	882	
	Major Gastrointestinal Complications with Transfusion or Significant Bleeding		23.898709	258	
	Major Liver Complications		19.089809	341	
	Other Gastrointestinal Complications without Transfusion or Significant Bleeding		19.123975	459	
	Clostridium Difficile Colitis		61.368894	1323	
22	Urinary Tract Infection		55.126985	7186	
23	GU Complications Except UTI		11.488989	559	
	Renal Failure without Dialysis		64.262455	6516	
	Renal Failure with Dialysis		58.790771	191	
	Diabetic Ketoacidosis & Coma		1.2998569	75	
27	Post-Hemorrhagic & Other Acute Anemia with Transfusion		14.864072	1151	
28	In-Hospital Trauma and Fractures		8.8928586	321	
	Poisonings Except from Anesthesia		2.5293641	297	
	Poisonings due to Anesthesia	-	-0.044442	4	
	Decubitus Ulcer		60.306088	1054	
	Transfusion Incompatibility Reaction		13.275425	7	
	Cellulitis		11.067491	1502	
	Moderate Infectious		46.015837	1224	
35	Septicemia & Severe Infections	\$14,088	82.951889	3957	

Table 1: PPC Regression

PPC # PPC Description	Adm \$	Adm T	Cases	Notes
		T Value<1.96		
36 Acute Mental Health Changes	\$3,631	13.302443	1252	
37 Post-Operative Infection & Deep Wound Disruption Without Procedure	\$15,778	55.698834	1313	
38 Post-Operative Wound Infection & Deep Wound Disruption with Procedure	\$30,875	24.884632	61	
39 Reopening Surgical Site	\$13,777	14.66669	106	
40 Post-Operative Hemorrhage & Hematoma without Hemorrhage Control Procedure or I&D Pro	\$6,536	39.763252	3575	
41 Post-Operative Hemorrhage & Hematoma with Hemorrhage Control Procedure or I&D Proc		17.164797	222	
42 Accidental Puncture/Laceration During Invasive Procedure		16.569302	1858	
43 Accidental Cut or Hemorrhage During Other Medical Care	\$722		114	
44 Other Surgical Complication - Mod		28.382066	483	
45 Post-procedure Foreign Bodies		2.6470991	26	
46 Post-Operative Substance Reaction & Non-O.R. Procedure for Foreign Body	\$6,574		2	
47 Encephalopathy		38.081795	1343	
48 Other Complications of Medical Care		41.930328	1479	
49 latrogenic Pneumothrax	\$7,283		900	
50 Mechanical Complication of Device, Implant & Graft		35.609177	593	
51 Gastrointestinal Ostomy Complications		40.248239	358	
52 Inflammation & Other Complications of Devices, Implants or Grafts Except Vascular Infection		31.270093	1214	
53 Infection, Inflammation & Clotting Complications of Peripheral Vascular Catheters & Infusions		42.530628		
54 Infections due to Central Venous Catheters	\$22,295		312	
55 Obstetrical Hemorrhage without Transfusion	\$159		3556	
56 Obstetrical Hemorrhage wtih Transfusion		4.2845441	385	
57 Obstetric Lacerations & Other Trauma Without Instrumentation		1.0950693	1532	
58 Obstetric Lacerations & Other Trauma With Instrumentation	\$646		597	
59 Medical & Anesthesia Obstetric Complications	\$487		654	
60 Major Puerperal Infection and Other Major Obstetric Complications	\$94		289	
61 Other Complications of Obstetrical Surgical & Perineal Wounds	\$69		209	
62 Delivery with Placental Complications	\$525	0.8839125	265	
				Removed from
63 Post-Operative Respiratory Failure with Tracheostomy		91.791189		
64 Other In-Hospital Adverse Events	\$2,147	6.0351379	739	

Note: Shaded PPCs are excluded

Application of Regression Result

- Data modeling calculated FY 08 impact on each hospital for 52 PPCs
- Compared actual value PPCs vs. expected value by PPC
- Expected value = number of complications a hospital would have experienced (given its mix of patients – per APR-DRG and severity level) if it had a rate identical to state-wide average (SWA) rate (or CMI=1)
- Hospitals exceeding the normative SWA rate by PPC then have higher than expected resource use (unfavorable) and vice-versa...
- Analysis sums each "difference" for each PPC to yield an overall impact for that hospital

Indexing Methodology

Regression Result (value of extra resource use)

ivediessioi	regression result (value of extra resource use)									
Sum results of all 52 PPCs										
			PPC 1			PPC 2	PPC 3	52 PPC		Percent of
			\$13,066			\$12,051	\$5,721	Totals (Sum)	At Risk Rev.	<u>at-risk Rev.</u>
			Extra or							
			(Avoided)		Resource	Resource	Resource			
	Actual	Expected	Resource Use		<u>Use</u>	<u>Use</u>	<u>Use</u>			
Hospital 1	24	18.5	5.48	\$13,066 x 5.48 =	\$71,602	(\$49,769)	\$169,520	\$2,081,389	\$127,841,557	1.63%
Hospital 2	61	48.6	12.4	\$13,066 x 12.4 =	\$162 019	\$77,124	(\$328,512)	¢11 615 022	\$530,562,602	2.19%
i iospitai z	01	40.0	12.4	\$13,000 X 12.4 =	φ102,010	φ//,124	(φ320,312)	\$11,015,025	φυου,υυΖ,υυΖ	2.1970
Hospital 3	8	10	-2	\$13,066 x -2 =	(\$26,001)	\$100,984	(\$60,759)	\$9,348,013	\$126,865,954	7.37%
Hospital 4	13	20.4	-7.4	\$13,066 x -20.4 =	(\$96,557)	(\$31,332)	(\$17,335)	\$1,233,967	\$233,562,653	0.53%
Hospital 5	23	18.3	4.7	\$13,066 x 18.4 =	\$61,148	(\$14,340)	\$67,911	(\$1 447 123)	\$136,060,092	-1.06%
1 loopital o		10.0	7.1	ψ10,000 χ 10.4 =	ψο1,1-το	(ψ14,040)	ΨΟΙ,ΟΙΙ	(ψ1, 447, 120)	Ψ100,000,002	1.0070
										Used to Rank
										Hospitals

Benefits of Revised MHAC Approach

- Moves away from case-specific approach where providers feel "targeted" to one that considers aggregate rates
- Rate-based (risk adjusted) approach compares hospital performance in aggregate on a relative basis
- Shift from a "punitive" model to one that rewards relative positive performance and penalizes relative negative performance (Revenue Neutral Implementation)
- Provides strong incentives for coding complications
- Using more PPCs creates more balance and is fairer
- Basis for comparing hospitals on combination of efficiency and quality = value

Reaction/Next Steps

- Provides an important and useful tool to measure relative performance
- Facilitates clinicians, coders and financial personnel to evaluate and discuss quality-related performance
- Report formats and access to hospital specific (case specific) data working on reports to help hospitals target problem areas
- Linking of performance to actual payment implications (revenue neutral; but link to certain \$ at risk)
- Use of historical "expected values" as benchmarks/targets-
 - FY 09 data will serve as the base to calculated the statewide average PPCs for each APRDRG by SOI (1256 cells)
 - FY 10 data will be used for the initial performance year
 - Rates will be adjusted for FY 11 update factor
- Currently working on replicating this methodology for potentially preventable readmissions

More Information on the Quality Initiatives/Activities:

www.hscrc.state.md.us

Dianne Feeney- <u>dfeeney@hscrc.state.md.us</u>, 410-764-2582